

United States Patent and Trademark Office

		manufacture of a second second second			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/071,052	06/04/1993	JEFFRY W. KREAMER	4673120/4650	9541	
26386	7590 02/03/2006		EXAMINER]
DAVIS, BROWN, KOEHN, SHORS & ROBERTS, P.C. THE FINANCIAL CENTER 666 WALNUT STREET			KIM, JENNIFER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 2500			1617		•
DES MOINES, IA 50309-3993			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
08/071,052	KREAMER, JEFFRY W.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer Kim	1617		

Bototo tito timing of all rippour Bitol	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jennifer Kim	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp 	on the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
following time periods:			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>23 January 2006</u>. A b the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,		
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
		SHENGU	UNWANG

SHENGJUN WANG PRIMARY EXAMINED

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment deemed not to place the application better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for the appeal because Applicant's recitation of an intended use for reducing atherosclerostic plaque formation at site of endothemlium does not represent a patentable limitation in the "composition (medicament)" claims. Applicant's arugement that the finality of the last Office Action is improper is not persuasive because Applicant's amendment of deletion of "vitamin E" necessitate the new search of the remaining "Markush" limitations of at least one vitamins set forth in the claims necessitated new grounds of rejection and made finality of last Office Action proper.